UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,300	02/27/2004	Padakandla Krishna Rao	51085-3 /slb	8776
7380 SMART & BIO	7590 07/27/2007 GGAR		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 2999, STATION D			PORTIS, SHANTELL L	
900-55 METCALFE STREET OTTAWA, ON K1P5Y6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/787,300	RAO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shantell Portis	2617			
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u>	ay 2007.	•			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
4) \(\times \) (4) \(\times \) (5) \(\times \) (6) \(\times \) (7) \(\times \) (7	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
·	he drawing(s) filed on <u>2/27/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac		e Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
`	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🛛 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/07	5) Notice of Informal				

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-6, filed May 4, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-24 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Heubel et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Noel et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 12, 21, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Consider claims 1, 12, 21, 22 and 23, the phrase "walkie-talkie like" renders the claims indefinite because the addition of the word "like" to an otherwise definite expression (e.g., walkie-talkie user device) extends the scope of the expression so as to render it indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2617

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-3 and 5-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Noel et al. (Noel), U.S. Publication No. 2005/0032539.

Regarding Claims 1, 12 and 21-24, Noel discloses a method, a user device, a network, a system and a memory of messaging during an active half-duplex session between a plurality of user devices capable of walkie-talkie-like functionality (PTT calls use a half-duplex communications system and therefore, only one person can have the ability to speak at a time; [0004]), the method, the user device, the network, a system and a memory comprising: a first user device (requestor of mobile device) of said plurality of user devices (participants of mobile devices) while in a receiving in half-duplex (RHD) mode for an active half-duplex session (the call begins when the call originator presses the appropriate button, e.g., a PTT button, on a wireless phone and begins speaking), transmitting a transmit channel request message (TCRM) to a network (130) (as the call progresses, a participant may want to speak while another participant is currently speaking. The participant wanting to speak sends a request (TCRM) to speak); the network (130) forwarding the TCRM (if the call participant initiating the request has a higher priority level than that of the current speaker, a message is sent to each mobile device) to a second user device (current speaker of mobile device) of said plurality of user devices (participants of mobile devices) while the second user device is in a

Art Unit: 2617

transmitting in half-duplex (THD) mode for the active half-duplex session (the current speaker ability to speak is terminated); and the second user device (current speaker of mobile device) receiving the TCRM (if the call participant initiating the request has a higher priority level than that of the current speaker, a message is sent to each mobile device). See paragraphs [0019]-[0022].

Regarding Claims 2 and 13, Noel discloses wherein each user device of the plurality of user devices is a wireless device (the mobile device 110 is shown in Figure 1 as a wireless phone; [0019]).

Regarding Claims 3 and 12, Noel discloses further comprising the first user device locally receiving a request to transmit the TCRM (the participant wanting to speak sends a request to speak by making the proper selection. This typically accomplished using the push to talk button on mobile device; [0022]).

Regarding Claims 5, 15, 16, 19, 21 and 24, Noel discloses further comprising including an identification of the first user device in the TCRM at least when the TCRM is forwarded to the second user device (after the participants for the call, call group, are selected, their identities and priority level are transmitted to the PTT server 140 for storage. This information is compared and used by the PTT server for determining if the requestor can be granted the ability to speak; [0021] and [0022]).

Regarding Claims 6 and 12, Noel discloses further comprising: the second user device in response to receiving the TCRM generating a user-detectable notification indicating the second user device has received the TCRM (when the change of

Art Unit: 2617

speaker message is sent, the current speaker loses the ability to transmit her speech; [0022]).

Regarding Claims 7 and 15, Noel discloses further comprising: the second user device generating user-detectable notification indicative of the identification of the first user device (see rejections for claims 5 and 6).

Regarding Claim 8, Noel discloses further comprising: the network, upon receiving the TCRM from the first user device, determining a talk group the first user device is participating in, determining another user device in the talk group that is in THD mode, which another user device is said second user device (see rejection for claim 1).

Regarding Claims 9, 17 and 19, Noel discloses further comprising including a qualifier flag (priority level) in the TCRM at least when the TCRM is forwarded to the second user device [0021] and [0022].

Regarding Claims 10, 17 and 19, Noel discloses further comprising performing extended functionality in response to a value of the qualifier flag (if the priority level is a higher priority than that of the current speaker then a message is sent to each mobile device indicating a change in speaker is set to occur. The requestor is granted the ability to speak; [0022]).

Regarding Claims 11, 18 and 20, Noel discloses wherein the extended functionality comprises at least one functionality selected from the group consisting of:

a) registering a continuing transmit channel request at the THD device; b) canceling a transmit channel request at the THD device; and c) performing automatic release of the

transmit channel by the THD device (if the priority level is a higher priority than that of the current speaker then a message is sent to each mobile device indicating a change is speaker is set to occur. The requestor is granted the ability to speak; [0022]).

Regarding Claim 14, Noel discloses wherein the active half-duplex session is a push-to-talk.TM (the mobile device has a push to talk button) half-duplex voice communication session [0004] and [0022].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noel in view of Stubbs, U.S. Patent No. 6,930,994.

Regarding Claim 4, Noel discloses wherein the half-duplex session is a voice communication session as described above.

Noel fails to specifically disclose wherein the half-duplex session is a voice communication session compliant with at least one system selected from the group of iDEN.TM., 1XRTT CDMA, GSM/GPRS, UMTS, and TDMA.

In a similar field of endeavor, Stubbs discloses a dynamic allocation of radio resources in a packet switched communications system. Stubbs further discloses wherein the half-duplex session is a voice communication session compliant with at

Art Unit: 2617

least one system selected from the group of iDEN.TM., 1XRTT CDMA, GSM/GPRS, UMTS, and TDMA (Abstract).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a half-duplex video conferencing call between two parties or in a dispatch mode between groups of call participants wherein operable in both a GPRS virtual connection mode and a conventional circuit-switched mode (Stubbs-Col. 12, lines 20-23 and lines 32-38) for further allowing efficient and organized queuing of call participants (Noel).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Metais et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,136,663 discloses a method for controlling a communications channel shared by several stations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantell Portis whose telephone number is 571-272-0886. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.